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#### Abstract

This report has been prepared on 'Managing Inventory for perishable product, sensitivity analysis for product ' $X$ ' and suggestion for appropriate purchase model for a local retail shop "SHWAPNO" ' which is under the company ACI Logistics Ltd. The one core part of the report contains Inventory flow from Distribution Centre to Retail Stores including the focus complexity management and physical management of thousands of SKU (stock keeping unit) in whole chain. As Shwapno handles more than 30000 SKUs including perishables like Vegetables, Fish and Meat, Grain (VFMG) so it become the most challenging to manage inventory supply for Shwapno. Finally efforts have been made to recommend certain issue for further business process improvement like how to cost effectively managing inventory. It is also suggested from report analysis that present purchase cost is at higher side which needs to be addressed to make the business profitable.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

Proper inventory system and distribution system directly make a positive impact on any industry. On the other hand improper inventory system make a negative impact. In a country like Bangladesh their many company who are not following any economic order quantity model, purchase model, in a word there is no proper inventory system. Because of lacking expertise many industries are running without following proper inventory system.
There are some successful industries which are following proper inventory system and that is why they are successful industries. As project work we were assigned to "Shwapno", which is a retail shop and we found that they are not maintain inventory system properly. Their economic order quantity was not maintained properly. This project work will cover inventory management strategy from ordering, receiving and supply of the stocks to the outlets. It also covers different mode of transportation cost, distribution cost and its impact of business. A force will be made to identify the bottom necks in managing inventory in retail chain and procured some suggestion from improvement.

## 2. Result And Discussion

### 2.1. Problem statement of Shwapno:

Usually Shwapno purchase perishable products from a local market at a wholesale price which creates some drawbacks regarding inventory management. They are-

- No specific time gap between successive orders results over storage and so preservation cannot be provided to these items.
- Stores having small inventory often face product shortage as no fixed reordering time is given
- Due to traffic it may not be possible to deliver perishable items in time in their best condition.
- No specific average order quantity results uncertainty among suppliers.
- All these problems makes it impossible to trace consumer demand for a certain outlet
- Total cost drastically increases when cost per unit increases which need to be controlled
- Their current purchase model is not cost effective regarding total annual cost of inventory


### 2.2 Solutions for above mentioned problems

EOQ model for perishable product (Beef) [2]
As Shwapno do not following any economic order quantity model (EOQ) for perishable item right now that is why we suggest an economic order quantity model. Where all inventory decisions are then made centrally based on this information. In the pull system, however, the inventory decisions are made by local managers based on their local conditions. [3]
In the table 2.1 carrying cost is described in terms of rental for animal peen/shed, caretakers wages and cattle feed

TABLE 2.1: CARRYING COST

| Cost | Amount(BDT) | Cost description |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Rental for annual <br> penn/shed | 786000 | Tk40/ Sq. Ft./ <br> month* 32 Sq. <br> Ft./ Cattle*50 <br> cattle (carrying <br> capacity of the <br> penn*12 <br> months |
| Caretaker wages | 456250 | Tk caretake <br> r/day*5 <br> persons*365 <br> days |
| Cattle feed | 912500 | Tk <br> $50 /$ cattle/day*50 <br> cattle*365 days |
| Annual total <br> carrying cost | 216750 | For 50 cows |

Annual carrying cost per $\mathrm{kg}=356.13$ (Storage capacity of the penn $=50$ cows; weight of 1 cow $=120 \mathrm{kgs}$ )

Table2.2: Ordering cost

| Cost | Amount(BDT) | Cost Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hashil\& commission | 14400 | Tk600/ $\quad$ cattle*24 cattle/ truck |
| Caretaker cost | 800 | Tk 400/ 12 <br> cattle*24/12   |
| Inbound transportation cost | 15000 | Tk12000/ truck |
| Ordering cost/ order | 30200 | where 1 order = truckload |

Annual Demand=1512000 kg ( 48 outlets* 105 kg per outlet per day*300 days in a year)
$\mathrm{EOQ}=\left[\left(2^{*}\right.\right.$ Annual demand *ordering cost)/Annual carrying cost] ${ }^{\wedge} 0.5$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\left[\left(2 * 1512000^{*} 30200\right) / 356.13\right]^{\wedge} 0.5 \\
& =16014 \mathrm{kgs}
\end{aligned}
$$

No of order per year $=1512000 / 16014=95$
Average QTY ordered per store per order $=16014 / 48=$ 334 kgs
Time gap between successive orders (In days) $=365 / 95=3.8$ days

## Sensitivity analysis

For total cost, the formula TC $=k \cdot \frac{D}{Q}+h \cdot \frac{Q}{D}+D C$
Here we get 5 variables. Where $\mathrm{D}=$ demand, $\mathrm{C}=$ cost/unit, $\mathrm{Q}=$ quantity, $\mathrm{K}=$ fixed cost, $\mathrm{h}=$ holding cost. Now the standard value of those variables are $70,40,20,80$ and 10 respectively.

Now TC $=(70.2)+10 .(20 / 40)+(40 * 80)=3345$ BDT
TAbLE 2.3: Gradual cost increase for variables

| Varia <br> bles | Bas <br> ic <br> val <br> ue | $15 \%$ <br> incre <br> ase | $20 \%$ <br> incre <br> ase | $25 \%$ <br> incre <br> ase | $30 \%$ <br> incre <br> ase | $35 \%$ <br> incre <br> ase | $40 \%$ <br> incre <br> ase |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| K | 70 | 80.5 | 84 | 87.5 | 91 | 94.5 | 98 |
| D | 40 | 46 | 48 | 50 | 52 | 54 | 56 |
| Q | 20 | 21.5 | 22 | 22.5 | 23 | 23.5 | 24 |
| C | 80 | 92 | 96 | 100 | 104 | 108 | 112 |
| h | 10 | 11.5 | 12 | 12.5 | 13 | 13.5 | 14 |

The variables were increased $5 \%$ from $15 \%$ up to $40 \%$ and the

Table 2.4: Comparison of cost between increased values of VARIABLES

| Variables | Increased value | Total cost due to increased value Of the variables | Amount of change for $5 \%$ increase of variables |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| K | 80.5 | 3366 | 6 |
|  | 84 | 3373 |  |
|  | 87.5 | 3380 |  |
|  | 91 | 3387 |  |
|  | 94.5 | 3394 |  |
|  | 98 | 3401 |  |
| D | 46 | 3845 | 167 |
|  | 48 | 4012 |  |
|  | 50 | 4179 |  |
|  | 52 | 4345.8 |  |
|  | 54 | 4512.7 |  |
|  | 56 | 4679.5 |  |
| Q | 21.5 | 3335.6 | (2.4~2.9) |
|  | 22 | 3332.7 |  |
|  | 22.5 | 3330 |  |
|  | 23 | 3327.5 |  |
|  | 23.5 | 3324.9 |  |
|  | 24 | 3322.6 |  |
| C | 92 | 3825 | 160 |
|  | 96 | 3985 |  |
|  | 100 | 4145 |  |
|  | 104 | 4305 |  |
|  | 108 | 4465 |  |
|  | 112 | 4625 |  |
| h | 11.5 | 3345.8 | . 25 |
|  | 12 | 3346 |  |
|  | 12.5 | 3346.25 |  |
|  | 13 | 3346.5 |  |
|  | 13.5 | 3346.8 |  |

increased value of the variables are shown in the table.

After the increase of the values of variables, total cost increases for $K, D, C$ and $h$. But for the increase of $Q$ total cost decreases.


Figure 2.1: Graph of Total cost versus Variables
Here we can see that value of total cost increases drastically for $D$ and $C$. so if variable $D$ and $C$ can be controlled or lessened then total cost will be reduced. So we can say D and C are the most sensitive variables among five of them.

Demand can vary time to time so only variable that is left is cost per unit. In order to decrease cost per unit we need to purchase in a large quantity.

Now we have to determine which purchase model is appropriate for 'SHWAPNO' .

## Purchase Model

## Model I: Purchasing Model without Shortage

Assumptions: Demand is known, purchasing at equal interval, zero lead time, no shortages and instantaneous replenishment. [1]

The corresponding model is shown in Fig. 1.1
Let $\mathrm{D}=$ annual demand
$\mathrm{c} 1=$ holding cost/ unit/year and time horizon $=1$ year.


Fig. 2.2. Purchase model without shortage
Total inventory over the time period $t=$ Area of the first triangle
$=1 / 2$ Q.t
Average inventory at any time $=(1 / 2$ Q.t $) / t=1 / 2 Q$
Total cost $=$ Ordering cost + Holding cost + Purchase cost (constant)

Minimize $T C(Q)=\operatorname{cs} . \mathrm{D} / \mathrm{Q}+\mathrm{c} 11 / 2 . \mathrm{Q}+$ Constant
Minimize $\mathrm{TC}(\mathrm{Q})=c_{S} \frac{D}{Q}+c_{1} \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{Q}$
We apply Calculus method: $\frac{d T C(Q)}{d Q}=0 \& \frac{d^{2} T C(Q)}{d Q^{2}}>0$
$\frac{d T C(Q)}{d Q}=0$

$$
\begin{gathered}
-c_{s} \frac{D}{Q^{2}}+c_{1} \frac{1}{2}=0 \\
Q^{2}=\frac{2 c_{s} D}{c_{1}} \\
Q=\sqrt{\frac{2 c_{s} D}{c_{1}}}
\end{gathered}
$$

$\frac{d^{2} T C(Q)}{d Q}=\frac{2 c_{s} D}{Q^{3}}>0 \quad$ At $\quad \mathrm{Q}=\sqrt{\frac{2 c_{s} D}{c_{1}}}$
Hence optimum EOQ is $\quad Q^{*}=\sqrt{\frac{2 D c_{s}}{c_{1}}}$
$T C^{*}(Q)=c_{s} \cdot D \cdot \sqrt{\frac{c_{1}}{2 D c_{s}}}+\frac{1}{2} \cdot c_{1} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{2 c_{s} D}{c_{1}}}$
$c_{A}=\sqrt{2 c_{1} c_{S} D}$
Time between orders
$\mathrm{T}^{*}=\mathrm{Q}^{*} / \mathrm{D}$
$\mathrm{n}^{*}=$ optimum number of orders placed per year
$=\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{Q}^{*}$

Note. If the holding cost is given as a percentage of average value of inventory held, then total annual holding cost,
$\mathrm{c} 1=\mathrm{c} * 1$, where
$\mathrm{c}=$ unit cost $1 \%$ of the value of the average inventory.
In ShawpnoCompany purchases in lots of 2000 items which is a
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hand.


Fig. 2.3: Cost model

12 month supply. The cost per item is Tk. 80 and the ordering cost is Tk. 500 . The inventory carrying cost is estimated at $20 \%$ of unit value.

Here,
Given Cs

$$
=\text { Tk. } 500
$$

Number of items per order $=500$
Annual demand, D $=2000$
C1 = Procurement price $x$ inventory carrying cost per year
$=80 * 0.20=$ Tk. 16
$Q^{*}=\sqrt{\frac{2 D c_{s}}{c_{1}}}=\sqrt{\frac{2 \times 2000 \times 500}{16}}=353$
Total annual cost of the existing inventory policy $=\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{Q} . \mathrm{cs}+$ Q/2.c1

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =2000 / 353 * 500+353 / 2 * 16 \\
& =\text { Tk. } 5657
\end{aligned}
$$

## Model II: Purchasing Model with Shortage

Assumptions: All the assumptions of model I except shortage occurs here. Backlogs due to shortage to be met with penalty.

One inventory cycle is given in the Fig. 2.4.
During time period t1 inventory exhaust and during time period t2 shortages developed.

Q2 = Shortage/Stock out
$\mathrm{Q}=\mathrm{Q} 1+\mathrm{Q} 2, \mathrm{t}=\mathrm{t} 1+\mathrm{t} 2=$ cycle time.
Total cost $=$ Holding cost + Ordering cost + Shortage cost
$Q^{*}=\sqrt{\frac{2 c_{s} D}{c_{1}} \cdot \frac{c_{1}+c_{2}}{c_{2}}}$
$Q_{1}^{*}=\sqrt{\frac{2 c_{s} D}{c_{1}} \cdot \frac{c_{2}}{c_{1}+c_{2}}}$
$Q_{2}^{*}=Q^{*}-Q_{1}^{*}$
$t^{*}=\frac{Q^{*}}{D}, n^{*}=\frac{D}{Q^{*}}, t_{1}^{*}=\frac{Q_{1}^{*}}{D}, t_{2}^{*}=\frac{Q_{2}^{*}}{D}$
The optimum values are given as Total optimum cost $=$
$\sqrt{2 D c_{s} c_{1} \cdot \frac{c_{2}}{c_{1+c_{2}}}}$
In Shawpno the demand for a certain item is 2000 units per year. Unsatisfied demand causes a shortage cost of Tk. 80 per unit per short period. The ordering cost for purchase is TK. 700 per orderand the holding cost is $20 \%$ of average inventory valuation per year. Item cost is 70 per unit.

Here,
$D=2000$ units/year
$c 2=80 /$ unit/shortage period.
$\mathrm{c} 5=\mathrm{Tk} .700$
$\mathrm{c} 1=70 \times 0.2=$ Tk. 14/unit/year .
$Q^{*}=\sqrt{\frac{2 c_{s} D}{c_{1}} \cdot \frac{c_{1}+c_{2}}{c_{2}}}=\sqrt{\frac{2 \times 80 \times 2000}{14} \cdot \frac{94}{80}}=164$ units
$Q_{2}^{*}=Q^{*}-\sqrt{\frac{2 c_{s} D}{c_{1}} \cdot \frac{c_{2}}{c_{1}+c_{2}}}$

$$
=164-139=25 \text { units }
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Total minimum cost } & =\sqrt{2 D c_{s} c_{1} \cdot \frac{c_{2}}{c_{1+c}}} \\
& =\sqrt{2 \times 2000 \times 700 \times 14 \times \frac{80}{94}} \\
& =\text { Tk. } 5776>5657
\end{aligned}
$$

As total cost is less in Model 1 (Purchase model without shortage); so Model 1 is recommended.

## 3. Conclusion

The efficiency of a retail store is based on the retailer's ability to provide the right goods to the consumer, in the right quality, in the right quantity, at the right place and in right time. The entire process of retailing depends on the efficient inventory management. Inventory management is one area that differentiates successful and unsuccessful retail stores.

EOQ model or known as economic order quantity model is the order quantity that minimizes the total holding costs and ordering costs. It is one of the oldest classical production scheduling models. This model can be used in managing inventory regarding perishable items as well as their preservation. Which will reduce extra inventory holding cost and ordering cost. By EOQ model we have calculated optimum number of order per year, time gap between successive orders, But currently Shwapno is not maintaining EOQ model that is why there is no optimum number of order per year, they have no control on inventory cost, sometimes their product get waste and they are in short of product as well though customer is available.
.Appropriate purchase model will help Shwapno to reduce the inventory carrying costs. The minimum level of inventory are notmaintained at different centers but at centralized center which reduce investments in inventories along with the other incidental storing costs. The central buying staff manages the stock levels, recording material usage, lead time and prices effectively.
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